

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/733,766	12/12/2003	David Chien	PP-20001.002	9349	
27476 NOVARTIS V	7590 03/27/200 ACCINES AND DIAC		EXAMINER		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY R338			POHNERT, STEVEN C		
P.O. BOX 809 Emeryville, C.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,			1634		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/27/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/733,766
 CHIEN ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 Steven C. Pohnert
 1634

,	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Steven C. Pohnert	1634					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) Steven C. Pohnert.	(3)Richard Peet.						
(2) <u>Ram Shukla</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: 18 March 2008.							
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-5,14-18 and 32-34</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: Muir.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative briefly outlined the invention of applicant. The examiners and applicant's representative discussed the breadth of the claims as presented in the amendment of 1/22/2008 and potential amendments to further differentiate the invention from the prior art. No agreements were reached. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE							
INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
	/Steven C Pohnert/						

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner, Art Unit 1634 Examiner's signature, if required

Attachment to a signed Office action.